

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE  
Friday, July 15, 2011,  
12:00pm EST

Contact:

Ginger McCall  
Assistant Director, EPIC Open Government Project  
(202) 483-1140 x 102  
mccall@epic.org

## COURT: TSA VIOLATED FEDERAL LAW, MUST TAKE PUBLIC COMMENT ON BODY SCANNERS

### EPIC's Suit Demonstrates that TSA Program Violates Public's Right to Comment

WASHINGTON, DC - On July 15, 2011, as a result of a lawsuit brought by EPIC, the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals has ruled that the TSA violated federal law when it installed body scanners in airports for primary screening across the country without first soliciting public comment. The Administrative Procedure Act requires federal agencies to provide notice and opportunity for comment when implementing a rule that affects the rights of the public.

The Electronic Privacy Information Center had filed suit against the agency in 2010, citing violations of federal law (including the Administrative Procedure Act) and the United States Constitution.

EPIC argued that the Department of Homeland Security "has initiated the most sweeping, the most invasive, and the most unaccountable suspicionless search of American travelers in history."

In its ruling, Judge Ginsburg, writing for the Circuit Court of Appeals agreed with EPIC, stating that "it is clear that by producing an image of the unclothed passenger, an [body] scanner intrudes upon his or her personal privacy in a way a magnetometer does not....Indeed, few if any regulatory procedures impose directly and significantly upon so many members of the public."

The Court then concluded that "TSA has not justified its failure to initiate notice-and-comment rulemaking before announcing it would use AIT scanners for primary screening."

"We are pleased with the court's decision," said Marc Rotenberg, President of EPIC and lead counsel in the case, "The TSA is now subject to the same rules as other government agencies that help ensure transparency and accountability. Many Americans object to the airport body scanner program. Now they will have an

opportunity to express their views to the TSA and the agency must take their views into account as a matter of law.”

Mr. Rotenberg continued, “The court's decision also makes clear that travelers have a legal right to opt-out of the body scanner search. And travelers will be free to exercise that right without coercion.”

Co-plaintiff law professor and rights activist, Chip Pitts of Stanford Law School observed that “while the court's constitutional analysis is flawed given the ineffectiveness of the body scanners to detect the explosives for which they were supposedly designed, it is most welcome that the ruling finally offers procedural hope for the vindication of fundamental rights by giving the public the opportunity to protest against these arbitrary and counterproductive machines.”

It is unclear whether either party will appeal this ruling.

EPIC succeeded in an earlier lawsuit against the Homeland Security program concerning the body scanner program.

In a Freedom of Information Act lawsuit, EPIC obtained government records that revealed that the TSA required that the devices be able to store and record images of naked air travelers.

In a related suit against the United States Marshall Service, EPIC also obtained 35,000 stored images from a single body scanner operated in a courthouse.

## ABOUT EPIC

EPIC is a public interest research center in Washington, DC, established in 1994. EPIC focuses public attention on emerging privacy and civil liberties issues. EPIC routinely files amicus briefs in federal courts and pursues open government litigation against federal agencies. EPIC's earlier success in obtaining the technical specifications for the TSA body scanners was widely reported in the national and international media.

## RESOURCES:

Opinion, EPIC v. DHS, No. 10-1157. D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals, filed November 1, 2010  
[http://epic.org/privacy/body\\_scanners/EPIC\\_v\\_DHS\\_Decision\\_07\\_15\\_11.pdf](http://epic.org/privacy/body_scanners/EPIC_v_DHS_Decision_07_15_11.pdf)

Opening Brief, EPIC v. DHS, No. 10-1157. D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals, filed November 1, 2010  
[http://epic.org/privacy/litigation/EPIC\\_Body\\_Scanner\\_OB\\_Final.pdf](http://epic.org/privacy/litigation/EPIC_Body_Scanner_OB_Final.pdf)

EPIC: EPIC v. DHS

[http://epic.org/privacy/body\\_scanners/epic\\_v\\_dhs\\_suspension\\_of\\_body.html](http://epic.org/privacy/body_scanners/epic_v_dhs_suspension_of_body.html)

EPIC: Whole Body Imaging Technology

<http://epic.org/privacy/airtravel/backscatter/>

###